National Coalition for Cancer Survivorship (NCCS) Webinar: Redefining Functional Status (RFS) Project Findings February 6, 2020

Presented by:

Shelley Fuld Nasso, MPP

Kristin McNiff, MPH













FACEBOOK: CancerSurvivorship

TWITTER: @CancerAdvocacy

HASHTAG: #LivingWithCancer





Impacting Policy - Empowering Survivors - Transforming Care



Survivors, Not Victims

What does "living well" with cancer mean to you?

Provide your answer in the chat box



Cancer Quality Measurement

- Focused on process did the patient get the right test, right treatment, right procedure?
- Limited outcome measures avoidable hospitalizations and emergency department visits
- What would quality measurement look like if it reflected what patients and caregivers say matter the most to them?



Measuring Functional Status

- Patient-centered measure development project to define cancer outcome measure(s) in this critical area, using the Principles of Patient-Centered Measurement
- Original Title: Return to Functional Status (RFS): Patient-Led Cancer Outcome Measurement
- Funded by the American Institutes for Research (AIR), with support from the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (RWJF): Pilot projects to implement Principles of Patient-Centered Measurement



High Level Project Steps



Convened
diverse
committee of
10 cancer
survivors, as
well as
Technical Expert
Panel (TEP)



Solicited input from cancer survivors through various social media platforms



Developed conceptual definition of 'return to functional status' by cancer patients and survivors



Evaluated existing validated surveys for best alignment with the conceptual definition



Developed patient-centered specifications for RFS outcome measures (PRO-PMs)

Sufficiently detailed to allow for testing and validation in a subsequent initiative



Project Committees

RFS Committee

- Members invited to reflect a diverse range of experiences
- Charged with leading development of the RFS measure by defining the concept (via structured RAND Delphi approach); reviewing and contributing to the development of patient-centered specifications
- Clinical/methodologist panel
 - Members who are experienced in cancer patient-reported outcomes, functional status research, measurement methodology, and implementation / clinical workflow
 - Charged with making recommendations to the RFS Committee and project team on methodologic and implementation issues



RFS Committee

- Rebecca Esparza
- Betsy Glosik
- Matt Goldman
- Candace Henley
- Allen Hirsch
- Kirby Lewis
- Allison Rosen
- Susan Strong
- Desiree Walker
- Dan Weber





Conceptualization

Core challenge:

- What does 'return to functional status' mean?
- How can the concept be measured to evaluate quality of oncology care?



Project Methodology

RFS Committee brainstorm



Social media outreach



Detailed literature review



In-person Delphi meeting



Survey 1 completed and scored



RFS Delphi survey development



Survey 2 completed and scored



Committee refined prioritized outcomes



Social Media Questions

- What personal/life activities, events or accomplishments do you consider when you think of a cancer survivor living well DURING cancer treatment?
- What personal/life activities, events or accomplishments do you consider when you think of a cancer survivor living well AFTER cancer treatment?
- What are the most important impacts on your quality of life from your cancer or cancer treatment? Did/does your care team help you address these challenges?



Conceptualization Methodology RAND Delphi Process

Main steps:

- 1. Structured survey prepared, informed by literature review, committee brainstorming, social media outreach
- 2. Structured survey completed by RFS Committee members
- 3. In-person meeting to review and discuss survey responses; attention to areas of disagreement and uncertainty
- 4. Survey re-scored by RFS Committee
- 5. Results and and conclusions reviewed by RFS Committee, minor refinements made



Expectations Confirmed

- 'We should measure...': Commonly used jargon often lacks meaning
- Putting patients in the driver's seat significantly changes the resulting measures
- Patient committees can be successful in identifying system gaps as measurement priorities
 - Everyone brings personal experience to the table
- Gaps in current system are such that measurement alone will be insufficient



Lessons Learned

What we expected	What we found
Focus on/measurement of survivors in the post-treatment phase	Commitment to include those receiving extended/chronic treatment as well
Discussions of system gaps	Reality of a system that lacks reliable expectations, norms, infrastructure to provide care that supports RFS
Focus on return to previous activities (e.g. return to work)	A group of prioritized outcomes and set of measures
Concern about appropriate patient responsibility	Concern about appropriate provider accountability



Selected Results

- 1. Renaming the concept
- 2. Prioritized outcomes for measurement of RFS
- 3. Resulting measure set

Renaming the Concept

- Immediate feedback from Committee during brainstorming – 'return to functional status' is not adequately descriptive
- Following in-depth discussion at in-person meeting,
 Committee renamed the concept Redefining
 Functional Status



Prioritized Outcomes

- Overall Quality of Life
 - Physical and mental health
- Physical Function
- Pain Interference
- Fatigue Interference
- Cognitive Function
- Psychosocial Impact



RFS Measure Set

Who is accountable/being measured?

Medical oncology teams

Denominator/people for whom quality of care is being assessed? 1) Those who completed chemotherapy; 2) Those who are receiving extended

Process:
Surveybased
assessment
of priority
outcomes

Process: Action taken when indicated by survey responses

Outcomes:
experience of
care
(communication re:
side/late effects and
financial impact)

Outcomes: prioritized patient reported outcomes



How Survivors Shaped the Project

- Name of project: changed from "return to functional status" to "redefining functional status"
- Composition of committee: added committee members with metastatic and chronic cancers
- **Scope of measurement:** considered people currently in treatment, as well as people who have completed cancer treatment
- Measures specified: included process measures for functional status assessment, in addition to outcome measures

"Patient centered measurements are hugely important and I didn't recognize its value prior to participating in this study. Patients aren't always comfortable letting their provider know what they are experiencing and having a quality measurement that allows a patient to share their concerns, issues or changes can overcome the fear of speaking up."

-Matt Goldman, Multiple Myeloma Survivor and RFS Committee member



RFS Committee Members

Allison Rosen, Colon Cancer Survivor

Rebecca Esparza, Ovarian Cancer Survivor









